

Cramer's Rule

#92 of Gottschalk's Gestalts

A Series Illustrating Innovative Forms  
of the Organization & Exposition  
of Mathematics  
by Walter Gottschalk

Infinite Vistas Press  
PVD RI  
2003

GG92-1 (19)

© 2003 Walter Gottschalk

500 Angell St #414

Providence RI 02906

permission is granted without charge  
to reproduce & distribute this item at cost  
for educational purposes; attribution requested;  
no warranty of infallibility is posited

GG92-2

## □ Cramer's Rule

concerns the solution

of a system of  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknowns

wh  $n \in \text{pos int}$

by the use of determinants;

the general case of Cramer's Rule

for  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknowns

is notationally rather fussy to state in symbols;

instead we here state Cramer's Rule in words

for the general case

and then state Cramer's Rule explicitly in symbols

for the first three cases

$n = 1, 2, 3$

so that the symbolic rendering of the general case

becomes obvious;

considerations may be thought of

as taking place in any field  $F$

eg

the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$  or the real field  $\mathbb{R}$  or the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$

- The Big Idea of Cramer's Rule

here is an informal verbal description of the algorithm called Cramer's Rule; start with a system of  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknowns, the equations being written horizontally and stacked vertically, where the left hand sides of the equations have the unknowns appearing in the same order from left to right & the constant terms are on the right hand sides of the equations; form the  $n \times n$  'denominator' determinant  $D$  on the coefficients of the unknowns; for each unknown form a 'numerator'  $n \times n$  determinant from  $D$  by replacing the column of the coefficients of this unknown by the column of constant terms; assume that  $D \neq 0$ ;

then

the system has a unique solution

where the value of each unknown

is

the quotient of

the corresponding 'numerator' determinant

by the 'denominator' determinant

## (1) Cramer's Rule

for one linear equation in one unknown

consider the system

$$* \{ ax = b$$

of one linear equation in one unknown

x

&

form the 'denominator' determinant

$$D = |a| = a$$

on the coefficient of the unknown

&

form the 'x – numerator' determinant

$$D_x = |b| = b$$

from D by replacing

the column of the coefficient of x

with the column of the constant term

then

$$D \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$\exists!$  sol of \* viz

$$x = \frac{D_x}{D}$$

## (2) Cramer's Rule

for two linear equations in two unknowns

consider the system

$$* \begin{cases} a_1x + b_1y = c_1 \\ a_2x + b_2y = c_2 \end{cases}$$

of two linear equations in two unknowns

$x, y$

&

form the 'denominator' determinant

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

on the coefficients of the unknowns



&

form the 'x – numerator' determinant

$$D_x = \begin{vmatrix} c_1 & b_1 \\ c_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

from D by replacing

the column of the coefficients of x

with the column of the constant terms

&

form the 'y – numerator' determinant

$$D_y = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & c_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

from D by replacing

the column of the coefficients of y

with the column of the constant terms

GG92-9

then

$$D \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$\exists!$  sol of \* viz

$$\begin{cases} x = \frac{D_x}{D} \\ y = \frac{D_y}{D} \end{cases}$$

### (3) Cramer's Rule

for three linear equations in three unknowns

consider the system

$$* \begin{cases} a_1x + b_1y + c_1z = d_1 \\ a_2x + b_2y + c_2z = d_2 \\ a_3x + b_3y + c_3z = d_3 \end{cases}$$

of three linear equations in three unknowns

$x, y, z$

&

form the 'denominator' determinant

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

on the coefficients of the unknowns

&

form the 'x – numerator' determinant

$$D_x = \begin{vmatrix} d_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ d_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

from D by replacing

the column of the coefficients of x

with the column of the constant terms

&

form the 'y – numerator' determinant

$$D_y = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & d_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & d_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & d_3 & c_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

from D by replacing

the column of the coefficients of y

with the column of the constant terms

&

form the 'z – numerator' determinant

$$D_z = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & d_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & d_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & d_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

from D by replacing

the column of the coefficients of z

with the column of the constant terms

then

$$D \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$\exists!$  sol of \* viz

$$\begin{cases} x = \frac{D_x}{D} \\ y = \frac{D_y}{D} \\ z = \frac{D_z}{D} \end{cases}$$

□ a sketch of the proof of Cramer's Rule

Cramer's Rule may be proved

efficiently & insightfully

by using the properties of determinants;

in order to reduce the quantity of notation

consider the case for  $n = 2$ ,

the general case evidently following the same ideas;

let

- $F \in \text{field}$
- $a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, x, y \in F$
- define  $D, D_x, D_y$  to be  
the  $2 \times 2$  determinants as above
- assume  $D \neq 0$

then



• if

$$x = \frac{D_x}{D} \quad \& \quad y = \frac{D_y}{D},$$

then

$$a_1x + b_1y = c_1$$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$$a_1D_x + b_1D_y = c_1D$$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$$a_1D_x + b_1D_y - c_1D = 0$$

which is true

because the LHS is the negative of  
the expansion along the first row

of a  $3 \times 3$  determinant with two rows identical

& thus

$$a_1x + b_1y = c_1$$

& similarly

$$a_2x + b_2y = c_2$$

• if

$$a_1x + b_1y = c_1 \text{ \& } a_2x + b_2y = c_2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} xD &= x \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1x & b_1 \\ a_2x & b_2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1x + b_1y & b_1 \\ a_2x + b_2y & b_2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} c_1 & b_1 \\ c_2 & b_2 \end{vmatrix} = D_x \end{aligned}$$

& thus

$$xD = D_x$$

& similarly

$$yD = D_y$$

whence

$$x = \frac{D_x}{D} \text{ \& } y = \frac{D_y}{D}$$

QED

□ bioline

Gabriel Cramer

1704 - 1752

Swiss

algebraist, analyst, editor, expositor;

published Cramer's Rule in 1750;

Cramer's Rule was previously known to Maclaurin  
probably by 1729

& was published posthumously in 1748